



ITEMS FOR DEBATE

COUNCIL MEETING 19 JUNE 2024

ADOPTION BY EXCEPTION RESOLUTION

<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>	
That that the Committee Recommendations for items 10.1, 10.2, 10.3, 10.4, 10.5, 10.6, 10.7, 10.8 and 18.1, and Officer Recommendations for items 13.1, 13.2, 14.1 and 15.1 be adopted en bloc:	
10.1	Audit and Risk Committee – 8 May 2024 – Complaints Handling Process
10.2	Audit and Risk Committee – 8 May 2024 – Complaints and Audit List
10.3	Policy and Legislation Committee – 15 May 2024 – New Council Policy: Model Litigant
10.4	Policy and Legislation Committee – 15 May 2024 – Council Policy Review: Private works on City land including private coastal protection works
10.5	Policy and Legislation Committee – 15 May 2024 – Council Policy Review: Waste Collection Services
10.6	Policy and Legislation Committee – 15 May 2024 – Council Policy Review: Public Artwork and Urban Art
10.7	Finance Committee – 5 June 2024 – List of Payments Made - April 2024
10.8	Finance Committee – 5 June 2024 – Monthly Financial Report – Year to Date 30 April 2024
13.1	Management Agreement Public Toilets Dunsborough Park Shopping Centre
13.2	Sublease – Left Coast Chocolate Pty Ltd 25 Marine Terrace, Busselton – submissions received in response to local public notice
14.1	2024 Financial Management System Review
15.1	Elected Member Information Bulletin
18.1	Audit and Risk Committee – 8 May 2024 – Legal Advice <i>Confidential item</i>

ITEMS FOR DEBATE

Item No. 12.1	Hannay Lane	Pulled by Cr Kaigg	Page 46
<p><u>ALTERNATIVE RECOMMENDATION</u></p> <p>That the Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Retain and re-affix ‘pot plants’ to the dedicated pedestrian and cyclist area/path on Hannay Lane as soon as practicable to improve safety and clearly delineate the path as a no parking area.2. Request the CEO to investigate the following options for the future of Hannay Lane during the 2024/2025 financial year:<ol style="list-style-type: none">a. retaining two way traffic flow and constructing a kerbed raised footpath;b. one way traffic flow retaining the at grade footpath with the potential of an additional loading zone; andc. one way traffic flow constructing a kerbed raised footpath with the potential of an additional loading zone.3. Request the CEO to provide a report to the Council on the outcome of the above investigation, including expected costs, in advance of the adoption of the 2025/2026 budget.			
<p><u>REASONS FOR ALTERNATIVE</u></p> <p>Reduction to the speed limit to just 10kph already identifies the volume of usage via this laneway by pedestrians and cyclists and in so doing addresses our commitment to safe roads network with alignment with Key Theme 2 of the City’s Strategic Community Plan – Lifestyle</p> <p>It has already been proven that the potted plants put in place to separate dual paths from traffic has not been successful in the past and has resulted in it being uninviting and cluttered in appearance as well as creating traffic flow disturbance and opportunity seen for illegal parking as well as ongoing pedestrian safety concerns.</p> <p>The laneway provides pedestrian and cyclists with linkage to the community gathering space Djiljit Mia, Rivergum Park and alternative path connection to Gifford Rd through to old Dunsborough.</p> <p>Making one way traffic flow with separation of a dual path while providing for loading zone only parking may allow for more safety for family foot traffic, increase in visitation to shops with Hannay Lane frontage, and families staying to enjoy the relaxed vibe the Djiljit Mia community gathering space instils.</p>			

OFFICER COMMENT

Officers support the proposed alternative, noting it addresses immediate safety concerns and enables potential future improvements to further enhance the functionality of Hannay Lane.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT (ADMINISTRATION) REGULATIONS REQUIREMENT

Pursuant to regulation 11(da) of the *Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996*, if the amended recommendation is adopted by Council, the above Reasons will be recorded in the Minutes.

Item No. 14.2	CEO Performance Review Committee appointments	Pulled by Cr Kaigg	Page 68
<p><u>ALTERNATIVE RECOMMENDATION</u></p> <p>That the Council, on the request of Cr Val Kaigg, remove Cr Val Kaigg from the CEO Performance Review Committee.</p>			
<p><u>REASONS FOR ALTERNATIVE</u></p> <p>The Committee had been selected at the time the new Council was elected as per the Terms of Reference. It is acknowledged that an administrative error was made which brought about my inclusion. Rather than Council needing to resolve the error in discrepancy with the Terms of Reference, I would like to remove myself from the Committee in line with compliance with the Terms of Reference, leaving elected Mayor Cronin, Cr Cox, Cr Richards as members and Cr Love as deputy.</p>			
<p><u>OFFICER COMMENT</u></p> <p>Cr Kaigg's request to be removed from the Committee resolves the discrepancy between the current Committee membership and the Committee Terms of Reference. The Council can remove Cr Kaigg by an absolute majority.</p>			
<p><u>LOCAL GOVERNMENT (ADMINISTRATION) REGULATIONS REQUIREMENT</u></p> <p>Pursuant to regulation 11(da) of the <i>Local Government (Administration) Regulations</i> 1996, if the amended recommendation is adopted by Council, the above Reasons will be recorded in the Minutes.</p>			